

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/305,240	05/04/1999	BYUNG-SUP SHIM	5484-48	1838
75	90 04/19/2002			
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM P C 1030 S W MORRISON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205			EXAMINER	
			NADAV, ORI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	3000
•			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Δ/			
Advisory Action	09/305,240	SHIM ET AL.	, p			
Authory Motion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ori nadav	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the statutory period for the statutory period for reply original set in the statutory period for the statutory period	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li></ol>	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NC	T place the			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we</li> </ol>			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 5-7 and 9.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-4.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	iiner.			
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449)	<u> </u>				
0. Other:		Steven Loke Primary Examiner				
	4	there Lot	e			

\_Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/305,240

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations of a gate over a portion of the second sector, as recited in claim 5, warrant further consideration and/or search..